

PERFORMANCE OF OIL PALM HYBRID CROSSES UNDER THE KRISHNA-GODAVARI ZONE OF ANDHRA PRADESH

V. Vijaya Bhaskar and K. Krishna Rao

Horticultural Research Station, AICRP on Oil Palm, Vijayarai, District-West Godavari-534 475 (A.P.) India

Abstract

The present investigation comprising of eleven hybrid crosses of oil palm was carried out at the Horticultural Research Station, Vijayarai, West Godavari district of Andhra Pradesh under alfisols to evaluate their growth and yield characters. The eleven hybrid crosses of oil palm tenera hybrids were *viz.*, $128D \times 291P$, $124D \times 266P$, $18D \times 32P$, $35D \times 291P$, $65D \times 111P$, $104D \times 98P$, $82D \times 266P$, $109D \times 291P$, $115D \times 291P$ $148D \times 98P$ and $220D \times 98P$ were planted at a spacing of 9m X 9m in a randomized block design, replicated thrice during the year 1992 under tube well irrigation. The data recorded has revealed that the hybrid crosses derived of 291P male parent recorded tallest in height where as the hybrid crosses derived of 98P male parent have recorded shortest in plant height. The variation in collar girth of palms was found non-significant. The perusal of pooled performance of the past four years data it can be concluded that significantly highest fresh fruit bunch yield (15t/ha/year),

highest number of fresh fruit bunches (7.6) and fresh fruit bunch weight (15.7 kg) were recorded with $115D \times 291P$. Based on the differences in both growth and yield data in different dura × pisifera hybrid crosses it can be concluded that $115D \times 291P$ has been identified as precocious in bearing coupled with Fresh Fruit Bunch yield potential of 15t/ha/year.

Key words: Bunch weight, FFB yield, hybrid crosses, oil palm, plant height

Introduction

Andhra Pradesh is one among the eleven states identified by the Central Working Group on oil palm suitable for cultivation of oil palm in about 4,00,000 ha (Anonymous, 1988). At present the area under cultivation in Andhra Pradesh is about 65,000 ha with a total production of 2,20,000 tonnes of FFBs from a productive area of 32,000 ha (2006-07). Oil Palm, recognized as the most profitable producer of vegetable oil with a yield potential of 4-5 tonnes of edible oil/ha/year under irrigated conditions (Rethinam and Chadha, 1992). Being perennial plantation crop, which is committed to the land for nearly 25 to 30 years, an assurance of quality planting material is essential for this crop. Although tenera hybrids comprising of Dura × Pisifera hybrid crosses are considered ideal for commercial plantation parental combination wise differences have been reported by Nampoothiri and Ravindran (1996), which necessitates the need for identifying specific high yielding hybrid crosses for a specific location. Keeping all these things in view, the present experiment has been planned with the main aim of evaluating and identifying the best hybrid cross/crosses of oil palm suitable to the alfisols of Krishna-Godavari zone of Andhra Pradesh. Accordingly various hybrid crosses made at Palode (Kerala), using Dura × Pisifera have been planted at the Horticultural Research Station, Vijayarai to identify the best hybrid cross of oil palm suitable to the Krishna–Godavari zone of Andhra Pradesh.

Materials and Methods

The present evaluation trial was initiated in 1992 in the farm of Horticultural Research Station, Vijayarai, West Godavari district of Andhra Pradesh. The hybrid crosses seeds *viz.*, $128D \times 291P$, $124D \times 266P$, $18D \times 32P$, $35D \times 291P$, $65D \times 111P$, $104D \times 98P$, $82D \times 266P$, $109D \times 291P$, $115D \times 291P$, $148D \times 98P$ and $220D \times 98P$ developed at CPCRI Research Centre, Palode (presently NRC for Oil Palm Regional Station, Palode, Kerala State) were used for raising the seedlings. Planting was done with 9m X 9m spacing in a randomized block design with three replications and each hybrid cross combination had 8 seedlings excluding the border. Standard package of practices (Varghese & Cecil, 1992) with regard to nutrient and irrigation management were followed. The growth characters, number of bunches, bunch weight, fresh fruit bunch yield were recorded and used for evaluating the performance trends. The data were analyzed statistically for interpreting the results.

Results and Discussion

All the 11 hybrid crosses of oil palm planted have established well with satisfactory growth and the results are presented in table 1. The data has indicated that all the 11 hybrid crosses have recorded significant differences in plant height (2006-07). The data has revealed that the hybrid crosses derived of 291P male parent recorded tallest in height where as the hybrid crosses derived of 98P male parent have recorded shortest in plant height. The variation in collar girth of palms was found nonsignificant. However, the collar girth had the range of

 Table 1: Growth of tenera hybrid crosses of oil palm under Krishna–Godavari zone of Andhra Pradesh (up to 2006-07).

Hybrid cross	Plant height (m)	Girth (m)
V1 (128D×291P)	4.7	2.7
V2(124D×266P)	4.4	2.6
V3 (18D×32P)	4.2	2.5
V4(35D×291P)	4.0	2.6
V5(65D×111P)	4.5	2.7
V6(104D×98P)	5.3	2.6
V7(82D×266P)	4.2	2.5
V8(109D×291P)	4.2	2.7
V9(115D×291P)	4.5	2.8
V10(148D×98P)	5.2	2.9
V11 (220D×98P)	5.3	2.6
	0.6	NS

 $2.5m \text{ in } 18D \times 32P \text{ to } 2.9m \text{ in } 148D \times 98P$. Similar kind of observations on vegetative growth of palms was reported by Rethinam (1990).

The trends with respect to FFB yield of hybrid crosses of oil palm during the past four years (2003-04 to 2006-07) are presented in table 2. All the hybrid crosses differed significantly with respect to number of bunches/palm and fresh fruit bunches yield during all the years. There were significant differences in the bunch weight during the years 2003-04 and 2005-06. Among the hybrid crosses $115D \times$ 291P recorded significantly highest fresh fruit bunch yield (13.9t/ha) and highest number of bunches/palm (8.3) during the year 2003-04. During the year 2004-05, the hybrid cross combination 82D × 266P recorded highest yield of fresh fruit bunches (9.8t/ha) and highest number of fresh fruit bunches (6.9) per palm followed by the hybrid cross combinations $115D \times 291P$ and $148D \times 98P$. During the year 2005-06, the hybrid cross combination $109D \times 291P$ recorded highest FFB yield of 14.1 t/ha with the highest fresh fruit bunch weight of 16.6 kg followed by $115D \times 291P$ with 13.8 t/ha. During the year 2006-07, the hybrid cross combination $115D \times 291P$ recorded highest FFB yield of 22.6 t/ha with fresh fruit bunches of 8.4/palm with an average bunch weight of 21.8 kg.

Perusal of average performance of the past four years data as shown in table 3, it can be concluded that significantly highest fresh fruit bunch yield (15 t/ha/year) with highest number of fresh fruit bunches (7.6) were recorded with $115D \times 291P$. Highest average fresh fruit bunch weight (15.7 kg) was obtained with $115D \times 291P$ even though the data were found non-significant. The variations in different dura \times pisifera crosses are

Table 2: Trends of	Fresh Fruit Bunch	yield in tenera hy	brid crosses of oil	palm during the	e period from 2003-04 to 2006-0	7.
--------------------	-------------------	--------------------	---------------------	-----------------	---------------------------------	----

Hybrid Cross	FFE	Bs during	2003-04	FFBs during 2004-05		FFBs during 2005-06			FFBs during 2006-07			
	No.	Avg. wt. (kg)	Yield t/ha/year	No.	Avg. wt. (kg)	Yield t/ha/year	No.	Avg. wt. (kg)	Yield t/ha/year	No.	Avg. wt. (kg)	Yield t/ha/year
V1(128D×291P)	5.8	12.7	9.2	5.3	12.6	8.2	6.8	10.9	8.9	5.5	19.8	13.5
V2(124D×266P)	4.7	15.0	8.8	5.6	11.6	8.0	5.7	12.8	9.0	6.1	18.5	14.0
V3 (18D×32P)	4.9	17.3	10.5	3.4	11.5	4.8	5.9	9.2	6.7	5.1	18.7	11.8
V4(35D×291P)	6.5	14.0	11.2	5.1	13.2	8.2	6.8	15.4	13.0	5.6	18.5	12.7
V5(65D×111P)	6.2	13.6	10.4	5.2	10.4	6.7	6.5	13.0	10.3	5.2	17.9	11.4
V6 (104D×98P)	5.0	14.9	9.3	4.7	12.7	7.3	7.2	13.2	11.8	6.8	19.4	16.5
V7(82D×266P)	4.3	13.6	7.1	6.9	11.5	9.8	5.3	14.0	9.1	8.3	17.1	17.3
V8(109D×291P)	5.9	14.2	10.3	5.2	11.0	7.1	6.9	16.6	14.1	7.7	20.9	20.0
V9(115D×291P)	7.3	15.6	13.9	6.4	11.9	9.5	8.3	13.6	13.8	8.4	21.8	22.6
V10(148D×98P)	5.5	15.2	10.4	6.1	12.4	9.5	7.5	13.5	12.3	6.4	19.7	15.4
V11 (220D×98P)	4.1	15.3	7.7	6.0	10.6	7.9	5.1	15.8	9.9	4.4	19.5	10.4
CD at 5%	1.4	2.3	2.7	1.2	NS	2.1	1.2	3.9	2.8	1.5	NS	2.5

Table 3: Four years average yield performance of oil palmhybrid crosses under Krishna-Godavari zone ofAndhra Pradesh.

Hybrid cross	Average data of four years							
	(2003-04 to 2006-07)							
	FFB Nos.	FFB yield						
	(per palm)	FFB (kg)	(t/ha/year)					
V1(128D×291P)	5.9	14.0	10.0					
V2(124D×266P)	5.6	14.5	10.0					
V3 (18D×32P)	4.8	14.2	8.5					
V4(35D×291P)	6.0	15.3	11.3					
V5(65D×111P)	5.8	13.8	9.8					
V6(104D×98P)	5.9	15.1	11.2					
V7(82D×266P)	6.2	14.1	10.8					
V8(109D×291P)	6.5	15.7	12.9					
V9(115D×291P)	7.6	15.8	15.0					
V10(148D×98P)	6.4	15.3	11.9					
V11 (220D×98P)	4.9	15.3	9.0					
CD at 5%	0.8	NS	1.2					

necessary for field testing to identify the best one for a specific location (Nampoothiri, 1994).

Among all the tenera hybrid crosses tested the hybrid cross combination $115D \times 291P$ has been found to record precocious in bearing coupled with FFB yield potential of 15t/ha/year. This can be considered as the promising hybrid for future area expansion programme in the alfisols of Krishna-Godavari zone of Andhra Pradesh.

Acknowledgement

Authors are grateful to the Project Coordinator, AICRP on Oil Palm, CPCRI, Kasaragod for providing the facilities to carryout the studies.

References

- Anonymous (1988). Potentialities of Oil Palm cultivation in India. pp. 72. Report of the working group constituted by Department of Agriculture and Cooperation, Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India.
- Nampoothiri, K.U.K. (1994). Improvement of Oil Palm. pp.255-267. In: Advances in Horticulture Vol. 9. Plantation and Spice Crops Part-1. (Eds.) Chadhra, K.L. and Rethinam, P. Malhotra Publishing House, New Delhi- 110 064, India.
- Nampoothiri, K.U.K. and P.S. Ravindran (1996). Oil palm breeding. pp. 21-31. In: *Oil palm production technology*. (Eds.) Nair, M.K. and Nampoothiri, K.U.K. Central Plantation Crops Research Institute, Kasargod, India.
- Rethinam, P. (1990). Strategy for development of oil palm in Goa. In: Status and Strategy for Agricultural Research and Development in Goa. Proceedings of "Workshop on Evolving Strategies for Development of Agriculture, Animal Husbandry and Fisheries in Goa" 14-15 February, 1989.
- Rethinam, P. and K.L. Chadha (1992). Oil Palm Research in India. *Indian Oil Palm Journal*, **2**(7): 12-16.
- Varghese, P.T. and S.R. Cecil (1992). Oil palm management. pp. 33-48. In: Oil palm production technology. (Eds.) Nair, M.K. and Nampoothri, K.U..K Central Plantation Crops Research Institute, Kasargod, India.